



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,069	10/05/2000	Chong-Mok Park	1317.1068/MDS	7738
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 04/03/2007 LSEY LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			VENT, JAMIE J	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2621	
-		Th. 44.		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/679,069	PARK, CHONG-MOK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jamie Vent	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the second sheet and sheet are sheet as a constant and sheet are sheet.	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar action is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 17, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 10-12 applicant argues that McIlvain et al in view of Barton fails to teach, disclose, or fairly suggest the following limitation, "sequentially assigning free blocks as discontinuous circular buffer blocks in a disk recording area" and assigning free blocks of a circular buffer based on the control information when a time delayed viewing mode is selected" as recited in Claim 1. It is noted that McIlvain shows in Figure 2 and described in Column 5 Lines 20-52 the use of a "discontinuous" circular buffer" as interpreted as sectors on a hard disk as indicated previously by the examiner. Additionally, the assigning of the blocks is done by the control information as described in the passage indicated above. However, it is noted that McIlvain fails to teach the assigning of free blocks based on time-delayed viewing mode that is selected in the system. Barton teaches the system of storing and assigning memory blocks during a time-delayed viewing mode as described in Column 5 Lines 3-32 and Column 8 Lines 8-55. It is noted that the assigning of free blocks based on time delay information provides the system the ability to distinguish between various priorities of program data and further store the items efficiently through proper handling of the memory.

In response to applicant's argument on page 11 that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "discontinuous assignment of circular buffer blocks does not mean

discontinuity of individual circular buffer blocks") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument, on page 12, that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, McIlvan discloses a system with a hard disks containing discontinuous circular buffer wherein control information provides assignment to the hard disk. Barton teaches the assigning of the buffer blocks based on control information provided by a time-delayed viewing mode. The assignment based on a time-delayed viewing mode allows for the system to efficiently process incoming broadcast data, as taught by Barton, and properly store and retrieve the data in the discontinuous buffer, as disclosed by McIlvan.

On pages 13-16, applicant argues that Aoki in view of Barton fails to disclose the following limitation, "controller which records a video stream in free blocks of the recording medium or reads a recorded video stream records on the recording medium and assigns free blocks nearest to the recorded or reproduced free blocks as circular buffer blocks" as recited in Claim 21. Aoki discloses in Figure 4a-4c the recording of

time-delayed viewing on assigned circular buffer blocks as described in Column 7 Lines 59+ through Column 8 Lines 1-34. The selection and storage of video streams is recorded or reproduced on the nearest circular buffer block that is available; however, fails to specifically state that the video stream is a time-delayed video stream. It is taught by Barton to provide a time-delayed video stream as described in Column 5 Lines 3-32 and Column 8 Lines 9+. The system provides the recording of time-delayed data based on control information received into the system and thereby meets the limitation.

In response to applicant's argument, on pages 14, that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Aoki discloses a system of a circular hard disk for storing of A/V data based on control information from the system. Barton teaches the assigning of the buffer blocks based on control information provided by a time-delayed viewing mode. The assignment based on a time-delayed viewing mode allows for the system to efficiently process incoming broadcast data, as taught by Barton, and properly store and retrieve the data in the circular buffer, as disclosed by Aoki. On pages 16-19 applicant additionally argues that the prior art foes not disclose the sequentially assigning of free blocks in a

discontinuous circular buffer. The examiner understands the points; however, can not agree. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,8,18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by McIlvain et al (US 5,765,200) in view of Barton et al (US 6,233,389).

[claims 1, 18, 19]

In regard to Claim, 1, 18, and 19 McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method in a broadcast receiving system for time-delayed viewing, which includes disks having control information required for recording an input signal and reproducing recorded information recorded and a drive for driving the disks, the video stream processing method comprising:

- sequentially assigning free blocks as discontinuous circular buffer blocks
 in a disk recording area(Figure 2 shows the assigning of free blocks to the
 circular buffer. The assigning is based on control information as described
 in Column 5 Lines 20-52); and
- recording video streams for time-delayed viewing in the assigned circular buffer blocks (Column 5 Lines 20-35 describes the recording of video

Application/Control Number: 09/679,069

Art Unit: 2621

streams); however, fails to disclose the assigning of free blocks in the circular buffer is based on the control information when a time-delayed viewing mode is selected.

Barton et al discloses a system wherein various programs are broadcasted, recorded, reproduced, and played back. The system provides a circular buffer for assigning information as seen in Figure 6. The data is recorded based on control information as seen in Figures 4 and 9 and described in Column 5 Lines 3-32 and Column 8 Lines 9+. The system provides recording of information in various buffers in order of priority. For example, if a show is being recorded it will be recorded in the hard drive but if the current broadcast showing is being recorded while watching the show will be recorded in the buffer and deleted once the space is needed. This provides the system the ability to distinguish between various priorities of show while efficiently handling the memory of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the video stream processing system, as disclosed by McIlvain et al and further incorporate a system that records to free blocks based on control information, as disclosed by Barton et al.

[claim 2]

In regard to Claim 2, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 1, further comprising updating the control information and setting a pointer of a write point to a last one of the assigned circular buffer blocks after the recording of the video stream (Column 5 Lines 43-67 and Column 6 Lines 24-32 describes the setting the write pointer to the last assigned circular buffer block).

Page 7

[claim 3]

In regard to Claim 3, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 1, wherein the sequentially assigning of the free blocks comprises assigning the free blocks as the circular buffer blocks in a track and sector number ascending order (Column 7 Lines 15+ and Column 8 Lines 19-35 describes the assigning of free blocks in order of track and sector number).

[claims 4 & 20]

In regard to Claim 4, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 1, wherein the control information comprises file attribute information, file assignment information, free block information for each track, and circular buffer block information (Column 9 Lines 5-47 describes the information that comprises the control information in regard to information about the data).

[claim 5]

In regard to Claim 5, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 1, further comprising: recovering the circular buffer blocks to the free blocks when the broadcast receiving system is initialized (Column 2 Lines 33-43 describes the recovering of free blocks once system task is initialized).

[claim 6]

In regard to Claim 6, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 1, further comprising recovering the assigned circular buffer blocks to the free blocks and updating the control information when a next circular buffer block is assigned

Page 8

Art Unit: 2621

(Figure 2 shows the assigning of free blocks to the circular buffer. The assigning is based on control information as described in Column 5 Lines 20-52.

[claim 8]

In regard to Claim 8, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 5, wherein the recovering of the circular blocks comprises updating information of one of the circular buffer blocks which is pointed by a pointer at a write point with free block information, the video stream processing method further comprising initializing the pointer (Column 5 Lines 43-67 and Column 6 Lines 24-32 describes the setting the write pointer to the last assigned circular buffer block).

Claims 21, 23-26,28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Aoki et al (US 6,009,231) in view of Barton et al (US 6,233,389). [claims 21 & 32]

In regard to Claims 21 and 32, Aoki et al discloses a broadcast receiving system, comprising:

- a hard disk drive having a hard disk as a recording medium, the recording medium having control information for recording an input signal and reproducing recorded information (Figure 1 shows a hard disk for the recording medium wherein control information is recorded as described in Column 6 Lines 25+);
- a controller which sequentially assigns free blocks as discontinuous circular buffer blocks on the recording medium, based upon the control information in response to a time-delayed viewing mode being selected, and which records

video streams for time-delayed viewing in the assigned circular buffer blocks (Figure 4a-4c shows the storing of the data on the circular buffer wherein it is further described in Column 7 Lines 59+ through Column 8 Lines 1-34 describes the selection and storing of the video streams); however, fails to disclose the assigning of free blocks in the circular buffer is based on the control information when a time-delayed viewing mode is selected.

Barton et al discloses a system wherein various programs are broadcasted, recorded, reproduced, and played back. The system provides a circular buffer for assigning information as seen in Figure 6. The data is recorded based on control information as seen in Figures 4 and 9 and described in Column 5 Lines 3-32 and Column 8 Lines 9+. The system provides recording of information in various buffers in order of priority. For example, if a show is being recorded it will be recorded in the hard drive but if the current broadcast showing is being recorded while watching the show will be recorded in the buffer and deleted once the space is needed. This provides the system the ability to distinguish between various priorities of show while efficiently handling the memory of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the video stream processing system, as disclosed by Aoki et al and further incorporate a system that records to free blocks based on control information, as disclosed by Barton et al.

[claims 23, 24, & 28]

In regard to Claims 23, 24, and 28, Aoki et al discloses a broadcast receiving system of claim 21, further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/679,069

Art Unit: 2621

 an input device which enables simultaneous operation of the time-delayed viewing mode and a recording mode (Figure 1 shows input device wherein time delayed viewing and recording is available);

Page 10

• wherein the controller assigns free blocks of the recording medium, records the video streams of a channel to be recorded in the assigned free blocks in response to the selection of the simultaneous operation of the time-delayed and recording modes, assigns free blocks nearest to the recorded free blocks as circular buffer blocks, and records the video streams for time-delayed viewing in the assigned circular buffer blocks (Figure 4a-4c shows the storing of the data on the circular buffer wherein it is further described in Column 7 Lines 59+ through Column 8 Lines 1-34 describes the selection and storing of the video streams)...

[claim 25]

In regard to Claim 25, Aoki et al discloses a broadcast receiving system of claim 21, wherein the controller updates the control information and sets a pointer of a write point to a last one of the assigned circular buffer blocks after recording the video streams (Figures 12a-12c shows the control information that points to write point of last block).

[claim 26]

In regard to Claim 26, Aoki et al discloses a broadcast receiving system of claim 21, wherein the hard disk drive comprises: a control unit which controls the hard disk drive; and an expander which expands the hard disk drive (Figure 1 shows the hard drive wherein it is well known a controller and expander exists for control of the hard drive).

[claims 29, 30, & 31]

In regard to Claims 29, 30, and 31 Aoki et al discloses a broadcast receiving system of claim 28, wherein the circular buffer blocks form a circular buffer write and read pointers of the circular buffer have special pointer values in the random access memory so that the circular buffer blocks of the circular buffer are discontinuous (Figures 12a-12c shows the control information that points to write point of last block as further described in Column 11 Lines 5-60).

Claims 7, 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McIlvain et al (US 5,765,200) in view of Barton in further view of Aoki et al (US 6,009,231).

[claim 7]

In regard to Claim 7, McIlvain et al in view of Barton et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 1, wherein the sequentially assigning free blocks comprises interleaved assigning the free blocks for each video stream (Figure 2 shows the assigning of free blocks to the circular buffer. The assigning is based on control information as described in Column 5 Lines 20-52); however fails to discloses that if the video streams are of different channels to be recorded concurrently. Aoki discloses a system wherein reproduction of information is done through the use of a ring buffer. As further shown in Figure 1 various streams are broadcasted and entered into the system as further described in Column 1 Lines 12-41. The various transmitting of the broadcast channels allows the system to process various signals into the system for storing onto the ring buffer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time of the invention to use a video stream processing method, as disclosed by McIlvain et al, and further incorporate a system wherein the video streams are different broadcast channels being entered into the system, as disclosed by Aoki et al.

[claim 10]

In regard to Claim 10, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 7, further comprising updating the control information and setting a pointer of a write point to a last one of the assigned circular buffer block each time the video streams are recorded in the free blocks subsequent to the recording of the video streams for time-delayed viewing in the assigned circular buffer blocks (Column 5 Lines 43-67 and Column 6 Lines 24-32 describes the setting the write pointer to the last assigned circular buffer block)...

[claims 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, & 17]

In regard to Claims 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, and 17, McIlvain et al in view of Barton et al discloses a video stream processing method, as previously disclosed in Claim 1, however fails to disclose the following limitation that a broadcast receiving system for time-delayed viewing, which includes a hard disk drive having control information required for recording an input signal and reproducing recorded information recorded in a predetermined area, the video stream processing method. Aoki discloses a system wherein reproduction of information is done through the use of a ring buffer. As further shown in Figure 1 various streams are broadcasted and entered into the system as further described in Column 1 Lines 12-41. The various transmitting of the broadcast channels allows the system to process various signals into the system for storing onto

the ring buffer. Additionally, Aoki discloses that the broadcast system has a time delayed viewing and storing as disclosed in Column 4 Lines 10+. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a video stream processing method, as disclosed by McIlvain et al, and further incorporate a system wherein the video streams are different broadcast channels being entered into the system, as disclosed by Aoki et al.

[claims 11 & 13]

In regard to Claims 11 and 13, McIlvain et al discloses a video stream processing method of claim 9, wherein the free blocks nearest to the recorded free blocks are in a same track or a nearest track of the recorded free blocks (Figure 2 shows the assigning of free blocks to the circular buffer. The assigning is based on control information as described in Column 5 Lines 20-52).

Claims 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al (US 6,009,231) in view of Barton et al (US 6,233,389) in further view of Peters et al (US 5,884,284).

[claim 22]

In regard to Claim 22, Aoki et al discloses a broadcast receiving system of claim 21, discloses a random access memory that selectively stores information and has a video recovery unit that restores the video streams, as recited in Claim 21, however fails to disclose the following limitations:

Application/Control Number: 09/679,069

Art Unit: 2621

 a first radio frequency tuner which receives an external broadcast signal, a second radio frequency tuner which receives an external analog broadcast signal.

Page 14

- a video compressor which converts the received analog signal to a digital signal and compresses the digital signal, and
- a selector which selectively enables transmission of the external digital broadcast signal and the compressed digital signal.

Peters et al discloses a telecommunication system wherein tuners are present for receiving broadcast information as seen in Figure 1 and further described in Column 10 Lines 52-63. The video converts and compresses the digital signal wherein the random access memory will temporarily store the information as further described in Figure 1. The system comprising of frequency tuners allows for more information both digital and analog to be transmitted into the system and furthermore allows for proper conversion and selection of the data stream. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a broadcast receiving system, as disclosed by Aoki et al, and further incorporate tuners and video compressor and selector to the system, as disclosed by Peters et al, to allow for more information to be transmitted and entered into the system.

[claim 27]

In regard to Claim 27, Aoki discloses a broadcast receiving system of claim 22, wherein the controller comprises: a read-only memory which stores control program data to control the random access memory and the hard disk drive and a second random

access memory which temporarily stores data during a control operation of the controller (Figure 1 shows the various memories that are used for permanent and temporary storage).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamie Vent whose telephone number is 571-272-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/679,069 Page 16

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamie Vent

James J. Groody
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 262 762